|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| City of Tacoma Stormwater Management Manual – Infeasibility ChecklistSurface Type: Roofs BMP L604: Perforated Stub-out Connections. Version: 07/01/2021 | | | | |
| It is not necessary to answer all questions when determining if a BMP is feasible for Minimum Requirement #5 – The List Approach. Unless otherwise noted, a single answer of No means the BMP is considered infeasible for meeting Minimum Requirement #5 – The List Approach. Applicant may choose which questions to answer when determining feasibility. | | | | |
| Questions #1-7 relate to infeasibility criteria that are based onconditions such as topography and distances to predetermined boundaries and certain design criteria. | | | | |
| **Question Number** | **Question** | **Yes** | **No** | **NA** |
| 1 | Can the perforated stub-out connection be placed 10 feet or more from any building structure? |  |  |  |
| 2 | Can the perforated stub-out connection be placed 5 feet or more from any other structure or property line? |  |  |  |
| 3 | Can the perforated stub-out connection be placed 50 feet or more from the top of any slope 20% or greater? |  |  |  |
| 4 | Can the perforated stub-out connection be placed 50 feet or more from geologically hazardous areas? |  |  |  |
| 5 | Can the perforated stub-out connection meet setback requirements from Onsite Sewage Systems per WAC 246-272A-0210? |  |  |  |
| 6 | Will installing a perforated stub-out connection cause conflicts with any of the following? (An answer of yes means this BMP is infeasible.) Place a checkmark next to the applicable item (6a-6e). |  |  |  |
| 6a | Requirements of the Historic Preservation Laws and Archeology Laws, Federal Superfund or Washington State Model Toxics Control Act, Federal Aviation Administration requirements for airports, or Americans with Disability Act |  | | |
| 6b | Special zoning district design criteria adopted and being implemented through any City of Tacoma planning efforts |  | | |
| 6c | Public health and safety standards |  | | |
| 6d | Transportation regulations to maintain the option for future expansion or multi-modal use of public rights-of-way |  | | |
| 6e | Critical Area Preservation Ordinance |  | | |
| 7 | Can the design standards in BMP L604 be met? |  |  |  |
| 7a | Describe the design standard that cannot be met: | | | |
| Questions #8 relates to infeasibility criteria that are based upon subsurface characteristics and require a soils report to determine infeasibility. | | | | |
| 8 | Is the depth from the bottom of the perforated stub-out connection to the seasonal high groundwater table equal to or greater than 1 foot? |  |  |  |